

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION


OZZIE JACKSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:05-cv-841-F
)	
PFIZER, INC., <i>et. al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) filed on September 26, 2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion. It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
2. A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 8) filed on September 7, 2005, is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.

DONE, this 5th day of December, 2005.



/s/ Susan Russ Walker

SUSAN RUSS WALKER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

ROSE M. NELSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No.: 2:05-cv-832-F
)	
PFIZER, INC., <i>et. al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 16) filed on September 26, 2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion. It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 16) is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
2. A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 8) filed on September 7, 2005 is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.

DONE this 20th day of October, 2005.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

KATIE THOMAS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:05-cv-824-F
)	
PFIZER, INC., <i>et. al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) filed on September 26, 2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion. It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 14) is GRANTED and this case is STAYED pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.

2. A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 7) filed on September 7, 2005 is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.

DONE this 15th day of November, 2005.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

WILLIAM RANDOLPH HALL, SR.,)
As the Administrator of the Estate of)
WILLIAM RANDOLPH HALL, JR.,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

PFIZER, INC., *et. al.*,)

Defendants.)

CASE NO. 2:05-cv-941-F

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 9) filed on 21 October 2005. The Court has considered the arguments in support of and in opposition to this motion. It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion for a Stay of All Proceedings Pending Transfer to Multidistrict Litigation Proceeding (Doc. # 9) is GRANTED. This cause is STAYED pending MDL transfer to *In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL-1699*.
2. A ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. # 7) filed on 7 October 2005 is WITHHELD pending a final decision from the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on transfer of this case to the multi-district litigation proceeding.
3. Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Reply (Doc. # 12) is DENIED as

MOOT.

DONE this 21ST day of November, 2005.

/s/ Vanzetta Penn McPherson
VANZETTA PENN MCPHERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ANN BEVERLY, etc., :
 :
 Plaintiff, :
 :
 vs. : CIVIL ACTION 05-0542-M
 :
 PFIZER INC., et al., :
 :
 Defendants. :

The fictitious parties set out in the complaint are

hereby **STRICKEN** since fictitious party pleading is not generally recognized under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See e.g., Fed.R.Civ.P. 10(a); 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); Rommell v. Automobile Racing Club of America, Inc., 964 F.2d 1090, 1098 n.14 (11th Cir. 1992); Weeks v. Benton, 649 F.Supp. 1297, 1298 (S.D. Ala. 1986).

Counsel for the parties are to ensure that all future filings contain the correct and proper names of the corporate Defendants as more fully set out in Defendants' Answer (Doc. 2).

DONE this 17th day of November, 2005.

s/BERT W. MILLING, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE